

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/017,734	•	12/18/2001	Baowei Kang .	B784.312-1	8852	
164	7590	03/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KINNEY &		•	NGUYEN, KHIEM D			
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING 312 SOUTH THIRD STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415-1002				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2823	<del>"                                    </del>	

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/017,734	KANG ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Khiem D Nguyen	2823					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) 🔯 The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:		•					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable it submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: 2-6 and 8-14.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	w. DAVID COLEMAN	V. DAVID COLEMAN  14ARY EXAMINER					
	WATER CAMINER						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to Applicants' amendment that Francis et al. do not teach forming a diffused n+ layer in the first step of fabrication, examiner respectfully disagree. Applicants are directed to (col. 4, lines 2 to col. 6, line 6 and FIG. 4) wherein Francis et al. disclose a method for fabricating IGBT, comprising: PROCEDURE 1: form a uniformly-doped monocrystalline n- starting wafer (FIG. 4: 20) fabricating a nonuniformly doped n-type substrate which contains an n- layer on the frontside of the wafer and a diffused n+ layer (FIG. 4: 30) on the backside, (col. 5, lines 3-40 and FIGS. 1-4); and PROCEDURE II: fabricating the frontside structure of either an IGBT, MCT, or GTO (FIG. 4) on the frontside of the substrate wherein the n- layer is exposed (col. 5, line 47 to col. 6, line 6). Although, Francis et al. do not explicitly disclose forming the diffused n+ layer prior to the fabrication of the frontside structure of the IGBT. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the frontside structure of the IGBT after forming the diffused n+ layer because selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected results. In re Burhans, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946). Since the Applicants' claimed invention absence of new or unexpected results, examiner holds the rejection proper.